

1 [Counsel listed on last page]  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

3COM CORPORATION,  
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,  
v.  
D-LINK SYSTEMS INC.,  
and  
REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR  
CORPORATION  
Defendants/Counterplaintiffs.

Case No. Cv-03-2177-VRW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER AMENDING CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE**

The parties to the above-entitled action, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant 3Com Corporation (“3Com”) and Defendants/Counterplaintiffs Realtek Semiconductor Corporation (“Realtek”) and D-Link Systems Inc. (“D-Link”), stipulate and agree, by and through their respective counsel, subject to the Court’s approval, as follows:

1. The Scheduling Order of December 13, 2005 is amended with respect to claim construction briefing and the claim construction hearing as follows:

- March 10, 2006 – 3Com files Opening Brief on Claim Construction pursuant to Pat. L.R. 4-5.

1           • March 24, 2006 – D-Link and Realtek file Responsive Brief(s) on Claim  
2           Construction pursuant to Pat. L.R. 4-5.  
3           • March 31, 2006 – 3Com files its Reply Brief on Claim Construction pursuant to Pat.  
4           L.R. 4-5.  
5           • April 14, 2006 – Claim Construction Hearing Pursuant to Pat. L.R. 4-6.

6           2. The parties respectfully request that in light of the number of patents and terms in  
7           dispute, the page limits for claim construction briefs be changed from those set forth in the Court's  
8           Standing Order 3.1 to no more than 35 pages per party for Opening and Responsive Briefs, and no  
9           more than 25 pages for 3Com's Reply Brief.

10           Respectfully Submitted,

11           Dated: March 8, 2006

12           SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP  
13           3330 Hillview Avenue  
14           Palo Alto, CA 94304  
15           Telephone: (650) 251-5000  
16           Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

17           425 Lexington Avenue  
18           New York, NY 10017  
19           Telephone: (212) 455-2000  
20           Facsimile: (212) 455-2502

21           By: /s/ Henry B. Gutman  
22           Henry B. Gutman (admitted *pro hac vice*)

23           Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant  
24           3Com Corporation

25           Dated: March 8, 2006

26           AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP  
27           1950 University Avenue, Suite 505  
28           East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
29           Telephone: (415) 795-9500  
30           Facsimile: (415) 765-9502

31           By: /s/ Elizabeth H. Rader  
32           Elizabeth H. Rader (CSB No. 184963)

33           Attorneys for Defendant/Counterplaintiff  
34           Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

1 Dated: March 7, 2006

2 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
3 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
4 Stanford Research Park  
5 3300 Hillview Avenue  
6 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203  
7 Telephone: (650) 849-6600  
8 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

9 901 New York Avenue, NW  
10 Washington, DC 20001  
11 Telephone: (202) 408-4000  
12 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

13 By: /s/ Steven H. Morrisett  
14 Steven H. Morrisett (CSB No. 073424)

15 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterplaintiff  
16 D-Link Systems, Inc. (Case No. Cv-03-2177-  
17 VRW)

18 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under  
19 penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Elizabeth  
20 H. Rader, and Steven H. Morrisett.

21 Dated: March 8, 2006

22 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

23 By: /s/ Henry B. Gutman  
24 Henry B. Gutman (admitted *pro hac vice*)

25 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant  
26 3Com Corporation

27 ~~[PROPOSED] ORDER~~

28 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 10, 2006

